

MICKLEFIELD **ANNUAL PARISH MEETING**

MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL PARISH MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY 26TH APRIL 2018 CHURCHVILLE HOUSE, CHURCHVILLE DRIVE, 7.30PM

PRESENT: Public (2)

IN ATTENDANCE: Cllr J A Crossley, Chairman of Micklefield Parish Council, J L Hebden, Clerk to Micklefield Parish Council

The meeting opened at 7.30pm and was chaired by the chairman of Micklefield Parish Council, Cllr J A Crossley.

18/01 – MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY 25TH MAY 2017

Proposed by Cllr J A Crossley

Seconded by E Todd

RESOLVED by unanimous vote that the minutes of the Annual Parish Meeting held on Thursday 25th May 2017 are an accurate record. The Chairman signed the minutes for verification.

18/02 – MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY 25TH MAY 2017

Those present noted the following matters arising from the minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 25th May that were not otherwise covered by the agenda:

17/02 (previously 16/03) – the tree belt (to the rear of Hall Farm Park) has been planted.

17/06 – The MUGA had been installed.

17/08 – MTaRA was arranging a site visit to the anaerobic digester

17/09 – The date of the Annual Parish Meeting had changed to accommodate the availability of the Parish Council Chairman and Clerk.

18/03 – ELECTORS' QUESTION TIME

There were no questions or comments from members of the public.

18/04 - EXISTING HOUSING ALLOCATIONS IN MICKLEFIELD, APPROVED HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS (BUILT, IN PROGRESS AND PENDING) AND IDEAS FOR HOW TO UTILISE THE RESULTING C.I.L. AND S.106 MONIES

Cllr J A Crossley reported that there had been no further progress of the Barratt-Persimmons development. An outline planning application for the Grange Farm parcel of land had been fully approved with a decision letter and a reserved matters application had been submitted.

RESIDENT – How will the development [behind Grange Farm] affect the other planned development?

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – There will have to be a spine road through all the developments to connect them. The development [behind Grange Farm] may begin straight away.

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – Project ideas were needed for the Sec 106 money that would be generated by pending developments. Before a Sec 106 agreement is written the land and project

that it would go towards needed to be identified. The [Sec 106] money could only be spent on recreation and public greenspace and the existing land that fulfils the criteria is Micklefield Recreation Ground (Parish Council) and Castle Hills Wood (Leeds City Council).

RESIDENT - Not suitable for a gala or solar panels, then.

CLLR J A CROSSLEY - Without a Neighbourhood Plan, CIL money is limited to 15% and cash limited per year. With a Neighbourhood Plan, CIL money is 25% and not cash limited per year.

RESIDENT – Need [a recreational facility] in the northern end of the village, where the developments are.

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – There is a problem with the availability of land. The largest development (Barratt-Persimmons) will have space for a playground, but it won't be located particularly north. The other [more northerly] developments are smaller and can't be made to provide a playground.

RESIDENT – Can the money be used for a cycle route?

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – CIL money can be used for road improvements and traffic calming.

RESIDENT – Can it be used for tree planting?

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – Yes, using Sec 106 trees can only be planted in the recreation ground or Castle Hills Wood. If using CIL, they can be planted anywhere.

RESIDENT – The new developments don't have enough trees.

RESIDENT – [Can Sec 106/CIL be used for] dropped kerbs?

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – CIL can be used for dropped kerbs.

18/05 - LEEDS SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN , INCLUDING PROPOSED SITES IN MICKLEFIELD, AND LEEDS CITY COUNCIL'S PROPOSALS FOR PARLINGTON ESTATE AND STURTON GRANGE SOUTH

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – Reported that changes to Government guidelines had required Leeds City Council to re-examine the housing part of its Site Allocations Plan (SAP). The enquiry (EIP) had been postponed for the housing parts, while the revised SAP had undergone a public consultation, to which the Parish Council had responded. The Gypsy, Traveller Site and Business parts of the SAP had been examined by the Inspectors as planned.

RESIDENT – Do multiple copies of the same response count as individual objections?

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – Not sure. If it's a paper copy with an individual signature, it's always treated as an individual objection. Don't know if the Inspector considers the number of objections or the content of them. The Housing EIP will take place in July 2018 and Cllr J A Crossley would attend on behalf of the Parish Council.

RESIDENT – Will the housing extend to other parts of the village?

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – Don't expect the Inspector to suggest other sites in Micklefield instead of the proposed Garforth sites. The inspector adjudicates the 'soundness' of the existing proposals.

RESIDENT – What about a previous proposal for commercial development up to Hook Moor?

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – The 'new town' proposal more than 20 years ago was for housing from the railway line to St Helen's well and commercial units from there to Hook Moor. It was revised to housing from St Mary's Walk to the stream and along Pit Lane, which went to an enquiry where additional land offered by landowners was added. The 'new town' proposal was rejected at the enquiry and review stage and Inspectors' powers have changed, so that they can't insert a new parcel of land that hasn't been proposed in the plan.

RESIDENT – Questioned the sustainability of various developments, as there would be no additional facilities.

RESIDENT – There will be nothing to sustain a community – the village will just be a commuter village.

18/06 - ALTERATIONS TO THE RAILWAY INFRASTRUCTURE IN MICKLEFIELD, INCLUDING LENGTHENING OF THE YORK/SELBY PLATFORM AND THE PROPOSED CLOSURE OF PECKFIELD LEVEL CROSSING

CLLR J A CROSSLEY – Network Rail had approved a plan to move the signal to the front of the existing platform, instead of moving the entire platform behind the existing signal. The platform will be lengthened, like platform 2, with work due to begin in September. The closure of Peckfield level crossing would be more complicated, as the houses next to the railway would require a parking and vehicle turning area [currently, visitors, delivery drivers etc. accessed the properties by parking on Pit Lane and using the level crossing] which would require land.

RESIDENT – Lower Peckfield Lane is owned by three different landowners [making it more complicated to get approval for improvement works].

18/07 - THE NORMANTON TO CHURCH FENTON LEG OF HS2 AND THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON RIDGE ROAD AND PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY IN MICKLEFIELD

RESIDENT – [Previous] public consultations for the HS2 Normanton to Church Fenton route have provided little information and were no more informative [than information already available].

18/08 - CRIME, ANTI - SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR AND FLY -TIPPING

RESIDENT – [The situation is] worse.

CLLR J A CROSSLEY - The [Neighbourhood Policing Team] Inspector should be able to attend the June Parish Council meeting.

RESIDENT – There is always a full Police presence at the Kippax and Methley Forum.

18/09 - PECKFIELD LANDFILL SITE OVER THE LAST 12 MONTHS

RESIDENT – [The situation] has improved, but there are still problems with smells. It's probably to do with weather conditions, wind direction etc. A planning application went to the Plans Panel in March, but there doesn't seem to have been a decision released.

18/10 - DATE OF NEXT PARISH MEETING

Proposed by Cllr J A Crossley

Seconded by C Boothroyd

RESOLVED by unanimous vote to hold the next Annual Parish Meeting during the Statutory Period at the Chairman's discretion.

The meeting closed at 9.53pm.

Signed:

(Chairman)

Date:

Joanne Hebden, Clerk and RFO
Micklefield Parish Council
(Sole Trustee of Micklefield Recreation Ground Charity)

6 Churchville Avenue
Micklefield
LEEDS
LS25 4AS
0113 2875829
clerk@micklefield-pc.gov.uk